Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/898,211	KUUKANKORPI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian D. Nguyen	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brian D. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ronald Craig Fish.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
22, 26, 31, and 34-36		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The claims are amended to correct the informalities.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mand la Mill		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)